The headline of the article I have read is “The Moral Challenges of Driverless Cars”.

It is more likely a scientific article. Unfortunately, there is no mention of the date or author of the article.

The main idea of the article is about the correctness of the decisions made automatically by computer riding a car. Article explains, that people usually make a lot of decisions during ridings concerned the traffic lights, road crossings, etc. A disputable situations often rise and people solve them intuitively, trying to minimize the harm. But what should be done if there is a choice between bad and worse? The article asks, what should be chosen if there is e.g. choice between colliding with the bicycle or colliding with the lamppost on a huge speed.

There are some alternatives, e.g. giving control back to the driver during periods of congestion, but it also has its own drawbacks, because people are not fast enough. Personally, I also think that the drivers often make wrong decisions, there is a lot of literature where it is stated, that in some situations intuitive decisions are wrong and the most optimal movements are fully opposite. But I still against the automation of the vehicle on the usual roads, because sometimes people are very maneuverable and computer will panic, make wrong decisions and lead to the crash. Computer algorithms are not smart enough to cope with such tasks yet.

However, Google actively develops in this theme concentrating to manage with described problems in the article, trying to make its computer software reliable, tolerate and unobtrusive enough.